arXiv:0911.2182v1 [math.RA] 11 Nov 2009

DERIVED EQUIVALENCES OF UPPER TRIANGULAR DIFFERENTIAL GRADED ALGEBRAS

DANIEL MAYCOCK

1. Introduction

The question of when two derived categories of rings are equivalent has been studied extensively. Morita theory answered the question of when two module categories of rings are equivalent and a version of Morita theory for derived categories was developed by Rickard in [6] which made use of the concept of tilting modules. This approach was applied by Ladkani in [5] to the situation of derived equivalences of upper triangular matrix rings. In this paper we extend the main results from [5] to the more general case of upper triangular matrix differential graded algebras (henceforth referred to as DGAs). For this we will make extensive use of the tool of recollements and in particular the situation given by Jørgensen in [3].

Section 2 sets out the notation used. We begin properly in section 3 by introducing the upper triangular matrix DGA Λ which has the form $\begin{bmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix}$, where R and S are DGAs and ${}_RM_S$ is a R-S-DG-bimodule. There are also the left-DG-modules $B = \begin{bmatrix} R \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $C = \begin{bmatrix} M \\ S \end{bmatrix}$ which we will use throughout the paper. Next, by proving some properties of B and C, we are able to use the main result from [3] to obtain the recollement

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D(R) \arrow[r, "i_*"] & D(\Lambda) \arrow[l, bend right=40, "i^*"'] \arrow[l, bend left=40, "i^!"] \arrow[r, "j^*"] & D(S) \arrow[l, bend right=40, "j_!"'] \arrow[l, bend left=40, "j_*"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $i_*({}_RR) \cong B$ and $j_!({}_SS) \cong C$. We then conclude the section by presenting some useful results obtained from the recollement which we will need in the next section.

In section 4 we turn our attention to the main aim of the paper, generalizing the main theorem from Ladkani to DGA's. To do so we follow a similar idea as used in the proof of [5, Theorem 4.5], by considering the DG-module $T = \Sigma i_* X \oplus j_* j^* \Lambda$ where X is compact and $\langle X \rangle = D(R)$, where $\langle X \rangle$ denotes the smallest triangular subcategory containing X which is closed under the taking of coproducts. We begin with a statement of Keller's theorem which we will require to prove the following theorem, our "first attempt" at generalising [5, Theorem 4.5].

Theorem. *Let X be a DG R-module such that ${}_R X$ is compact and $\langle {}_R X \rangle = D(R)$. Let ${}_R M_S$ be compact as a DG-R-module. Let $T = \Sigma i_* X \oplus j_* j^* \Lambda$ with $\mathscr{E} = \operatorname{End}_\Lambda(P)$, where P is a K-projective resolution of T. Then $\mathscr{E}$ is an DGA with $D(\Lambda) \simeq D(\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{op}})$.*

We then turn our attention to considering P, the K-projective resolution of T, and by doing so we are able to calculate its endomorphism DGA, which leads to our generalisation of the main theorem of Ladkani below.

Theorem. *Let X be a DG R-module such that ${}_R X$ is compact and $\langle {}_R X \rangle = D(R)$. Let ${}_R M_S$ be compact as an DG R-module and let U and V be K-projective resolutions of X and M respectively. Then for the upper triangular differential graded algebras*

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \tilde{\Lambda} = \begin{bmatrix} S & \operatorname{Hom}_R(V, U) \\ 0 & \operatorname{Hom}_R(U, U)^{\mathrm{op}} \end{bmatrix}$$

we have that $D(\Lambda) \simeq D(\tilde{\Lambda})$.

One specific advantage of considering the DGA case rather than the ring case is that with the DGA case we can do without a lot of constraints which are required in the ring case to ensure that the derived equivalence is between two triangular matrix rings.

Finally in section 5 we conclude with a look at some special cases. In the first we reconsider the original case in Ladkani, involving just rings and show that by making the same assumptions in our general theorem we obtain the same equivalence.

We then briefly consider what happens in the special case where ${}_R X = {}_R R$. In the final example, we require that our DGA's are over some field k and that R is self dual, that is, $\operatorname{Hom}_k(R, k) \cong R$ in the derived category of DG-R-modules. This gives us the following result.

Corollary. *Let R be a self dual finite dimensional DGA and S be a DGA, both over a field k. Let ${}_R M_S$ be compact as a DG-R-module.*

Then

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \tilde{\Lambda} = \begin{bmatrix} S & DM \\ 0 & R \end{bmatrix}$$

are derived equivalent.

2. Notation and terminology

In this we will fix the notation which we shall use throughout the paper; more details on DGA's and DG-modules can be found in [4] and [1].

Throughout this paper we will make use of Differential Graded Algebras; these are always assumed to be over some commutative ground ring k unless stated otherwise. For any graded object r we will denote its degree by $|r|$. Note that we shall observe the Koszul sign convention so that whenever two graded elements of degrees m and n are interchanged we introduce a sign $(-1)^{mn}$.

For a DGA R we can define the opposite DGA, denoted R^{op}, this is the same as R except that the product is given by $r.s = (-1)^{|r||s|}sr$ where $.$ denotes multiplication in R^{op}. We will often identify DG-right-R-modules with DG-left-R^{op}-modules.

We shall often need to consider DG-modules with more than one DG-module structure, for instance a DG-left-R-right-S-module, denoted by ${}_RM_S$. In these cases the different structures are required to be compatible, for the ${}_RM_S$ case this means that the rule $(rm)s = r(ms)$ holds.

For a DGA R we denote the category of all DG-left-R-modules by Mod R. We define the homotopy category of R, which we denote by $K(R)$, as the category consisting of all DG-left-R-modules whose morphisms are the morphisms of DG-modules mudololo homotopy. We define the derived category of R, denoted by $D(R)$, from $K(R)$ by formally inverting the quasi-isomorphisms. Both $K(R)$ and $D(R)$ are triangulated categories. A more detailed construction of the derived category and details of triangulated categories can be found in [2].

Since we can identify DG-right-R-modules with DG-left-R^{op}-modules we can also identify the derived category of DG-right-R-modules with $D(R^{\mathrm{op}})$.

3. A Recollement Situation

We begin by defining the differential graded algebras and DG-modules which we will be using throughout the paper.

Definition 3.1. Throughout this paper, let R and S be Differential Graded algebras with ${}_RM_S$ a DG-bimodule which is quasi-isomorphic to ${}_RV_S$ where V is K-projective as a DG-left-R-module and let $\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix}$ denote the upper triangular matrix DGA with the differential $\partial^\Lambda \begin{bmatrix} r & m \\ 0 & s \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \partial^R r & \partial^M m \\ 0 & \partial^S s \end{bmatrix}$.

Remark 3.2. In the case where the base ring k is a field we always have that ${}_RM_S$ is quasi-isomorphic to some ${}_RV_S$ with V K-projective as a DG-R-module.

Definition 3.3. Let $e_R = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $e_S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and define the DG-left-Λ-modules

$$B = \Lambda e_R = \begin{bmatrix} R \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } C = \Lambda e_S = \begin{bmatrix} M \\ S \end{bmatrix}$$

where B has the differential $\partial\left(\begin{bmatrix} r \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \left(\begin{bmatrix} \partial^R r \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ and C has the differential $\partial\left(\begin{bmatrix} m \\ s \end{bmatrix}\right) = \left(\begin{bmatrix} \partial^M m \\ \partial^S s \end{bmatrix}\right)$.

The first aim is to construct a recollement involving the objects we have defined above. To do this we shall use [3, Theorem 3.3] but before we can use this theorem we first need the following Lemmas involving the DG-modules B and C.

Definition 3.4. For X a full subcategory of a triangulated category T, we can define a full subcategory

$$X^\perp = \{Y \in T \mid \mathrm{Hom}_T(\Sigma^l X, Y) = 0 \text{ for all } l\}$$

Lemma 3.5. *$\Lambda \cong B \oplus C$ in $D(\Lambda)$ and hence both B and C are K-projective DG-left-Λ-modules which are compact in $D(\Lambda)$.*

Proof. Define $\Theta : \Lambda \to B \oplus C$ and $\Phi : B \oplus C \to \Lambda$ by

$$\Theta\left(\begin{bmatrix} r & m \\ 0 & s \end{bmatrix}\right) = \left(\begin{bmatrix} r \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} m \\ s \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

and

$$\Phi\left(\left(\begin{bmatrix} r \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} m \\ s \end{bmatrix}\right)\right) = \begin{bmatrix} r & m \\ 0 & s \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is obvious that Θ andΦ are inverses of each other and it is straightforward to check that they are homomorphisms of DG-modules. So we have that $\Lambda \cong B \oplus C$ as DG-Λ-modules and hence also in $D(\Lambda)$. □

Lemma 3.6. *$B \in C^{\perp}$ as DG-modules and hence in $D(\Lambda)$.*

Proof. Let $C \xrightarrow{f} B$ be a morphism of DG-modules. It suffices to show that $f = 0$ and since $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ generates C we only need to show that $f\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = 0$.

Let $f\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} r \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ for some $r \in R$. Then

$$f\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = f\left(e_S . \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = e_S f\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} r \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

as required, hence $f = 0$ and so $B \in C^{\perp}$. □

Lemma 3.7. *$B^{\perp} \cap C^{\perp} = 0$ in $D(\Lambda)$.*

Proof. Let $X \in B^{\perp} \cap C^{\perp}$, then $\mathrm{Hom}_{D(\Lambda)}(\Sigma^i B, X) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{D(\Lambda)}(\Sigma^i C, X) = 0$ for each i.

$$\begin{aligned} H^i X &\cong H^i \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda, X) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{K(\Lambda)}(\Lambda, \Sigma^i X) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{D(\Lambda)}(\Lambda, \Sigma^i X) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{D(\Lambda)}(B \oplus C, \Sigma^i X) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{D(\Lambda)}(B, \Sigma^i X) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_{D(\Lambda)}(C, \Sigma^i X) \\ &\cong 0 \oplus 0 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all i. Hence we have that $X \cong 0$ in $D(\Lambda)$ and so $B^{\perp} \cap C^{\perp} = 0$. □

We now have shown that B and C satisfy the conditions required to apply [3, Theorem 3.3]. However before we do so we prove the following lemma about the endomorphism DGAs of B and C.

Lemma 3.8. *Let* $\mathscr{F} = \operatorname{End}_\Lambda(B)$ *and* $\mathscr{G} = \operatorname{End}_\Lambda(C)$*, then* $\mathscr{F}^{\text{op}} \cong R$ *and* $\mathscr{G}^{\text{op}} \cong S$ *as Differential Graded Algebras.*

Proof. Since $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is a generator of B each element of $\operatorname{End}_\Lambda(B)$ depends entirely on where it sends $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. For each $r \in R$ define the homomorphism f_r as the element of $\mathscr{F}$ which sends $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ to $\begin{bmatrix} r \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

We can now define $\phi : R^{\text{op}} \to \mathscr{F}$ by $\phi(r) = f_r$. Since elements of $\mathscr{F}$ depend entirely on where they send $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ this is obviously a bijection. It is also straightforward to show that ϕ is a homomorphism and so an isomorphism of DGAs.

Now let $g \in \mathscr{G}$. Since C is generated by $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ we know that g depends entirely on where it sends $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Let $g\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} m \\ s \end{bmatrix}$.

However $g\left(e_S.\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = g\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = e_S g\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = e_S \begin{bmatrix} m \\ s \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ s \end{bmatrix}$, so $m = 0$ and hence $g\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ s \end{bmatrix}$. So for each $s \in S$ we can define the homomorphism $g_s \in \mathscr{G}$ as the element of $\mathscr{G}$ which sends $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ to $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ s \end{bmatrix}$.

Hence we can define a map $\theta : S^{\text{op}} \to \mathscr{G}$ sending $s \mapsto g_s$ which is easily shown to be an isomorphism and so $S^{\text{op}} \cong \mathscr{G}$. □

By taking the above lemmas together with [3, Theorem 3.3] we get the following recollement:

$$\begin{tikzcd} D(R) \arrow[r, "i_*"] & D(\Lambda) \arrow[l, bend right=50, "i^*"'] \arrow[l, bend left=50, "i^!"] \arrow[r, "j^*"] & D(S) \arrow[l, bend right=50, "j_!"'] \arrow[l, bend left=50, "j_*"] \end{tikzcd} .$$

Five of the functors are given by

$$\begin{aligned} & & j_!(\_) &= {}_\Lambda C_S \overset{L}{\otimes}_S \_, \\ i_*(\_) &= {}_\Lambda B_R \overset{L}{\otimes}_R \_, & j^*(\_) &= \mathrm{RHom}_\Lambda({}_\Lambda C_S, \_), \\ i^!(\_) &= \mathrm{RHom}_\Lambda({}_\Lambda B_R, \_), & j_*(\_) &= \mathrm{RHom}_S({}_S C^*_\Lambda, \_). \end{aligned}$$

Here ${}_S C^*_\Lambda = \mathrm{RHom}_\Lambda({}_\Lambda C_S, \Lambda)$.

In particular, $i_*(R) \cong B$ and $j_!(S) \cong C$.

We shall now end this section with a number of results, involving the recollement we have constructed, which we will find to be of great use in the next section.

Remark 3.9. The functor $i_*(-) = {}_\Lambda B_R \overset{L}{\otimes}_R \_$ sends a DG-R-module X to the DG-Λ-module $\begin{bmatrix} X \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

Proposition 3.10. *For* ${}_S C^*_\Lambda = \mathrm{RHom}_\Lambda({}_\Lambda C_S, \Lambda)$ *we have that:*

(i) $C^* \cong_S \begin{bmatrix} 0 & S \end{bmatrix}_\Lambda$ *as DG-left-S-right-Λ-modules where* $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & S \end{bmatrix}$ *has the differential*

$$\partial^{[0\ S]}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & s \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \partial^S s \end{bmatrix}.$$

(ii) ${}_S C^*_\Lambda$ *is a K-projective object over both S and Λ.*

Proof. (i) First observe that C is generated by $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and that

$$\mathrm{RHom}_\Lambda({}_\Lambda C_S, \Lambda) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda({}_\Lambda C_S, \Lambda)$$

since C is K-projective over Λ.

Let $\theta \in \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(C, \Lambda)$ such that $\theta\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} r & m \\ 0 & s \end{bmatrix} \in \Lambda$.

However

$$\theta\left(\begin{bmatrix}0\\1\end{bmatrix}\right) = \theta\left(e_S.\begin{bmatrix}0\\1\end{bmatrix}\right) = e_S.\theta\left(\begin{bmatrix}0\\1\end{bmatrix}\right) = e_S\begin{bmatrix}r & m\\0 & s\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}0 & 0\\0 & s\end{bmatrix}.$$

So $\theta\left(\begin{bmatrix}0\\1\end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix}0 & 0\\0 & s\end{bmatrix}$.

So for every $s \in S$ we can define $\theta_s \in \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(C, \Lambda)$ to be the element which sends $\begin{bmatrix}0\\1\end{bmatrix}$ to $\begin{bmatrix}0 & 0\\0 & s\end{bmatrix}$.

We can now use this to define an map $\Theta : \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(C, \Lambda) \to \begin{bmatrix}0 & S\end{bmatrix}$ given by $\Theta(\theta_s) = \begin{bmatrix}0 & s\end{bmatrix}$. This map is obviously a bijection and it is straightforward to check that it is an isomorphism of DG-left-S-right-Λ-modules.

(ii) To see that C^* is K-projective over S we observe that $C^* \cong S$ as S-modules. It remains to show now that C^* is also K-projective over Λ. We do this by showing that $C^* \cong \begin{bmatrix}0 & S\end{bmatrix}$ is a direct summand of Λ as DG-right-Λ-modules.

First observe that $\begin{bmatrix}R & M\end{bmatrix}$ is a DG-right-Λ-module with the differential $\partial^{[r\ m]} = \begin{bmatrix}\partial^R r & \partial^M m\end{bmatrix}$.

Now define $\Phi : \Lambda_\Lambda \to \begin{bmatrix}R & M\end{bmatrix}_\Lambda \oplus \begin{bmatrix}0 & S\end{bmatrix}_\Lambda$ such that

$$\Phi\left(\begin{bmatrix}r & m\\0 & s\end{bmatrix}\right) = \left(\begin{bmatrix}r & m\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}0 & s\end{bmatrix}\right).$$

It is clear to see that Φ is bijective and a homomorphism of DG-modules. So $C^*_\Lambda \cong \begin{bmatrix}0 & S\end{bmatrix}_\Lambda$ is a direct summand of Λ_Λ and so is a K-projective DG-right-Λ-module.

□

Lemma 3.11. *In the set up of the recollement we have that:*

(i) $j^*(\Lambda) \cong {}_S S$ *in* $D(S)$,

(ii) $j_*({}_S S) \cong \dfrac{{}_\Lambda C}{{}_\Lambda\begin{bmatrix}M\\0\end{bmatrix}}$ *in* $D(\Lambda)$.

Proof. (i) $j^*(\Lambda) = \mathrm{RHom}_\Lambda({}_\Lambda C_S, \Lambda) = {}_S C^* \cong {}_S S$.

(ii) Since C^* is a K-projective S-module we have that

$$j_*({}_SS) = \mathrm{RHom}_S({}_SC^*_\Lambda, {}_SS) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_S({}_SC^*_\Lambda, {}_SS) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_S({}_S\begin{bmatrix}0 & S\end{bmatrix}_\Lambda, {}_SS).$$

Now observe that ${}_S\begin{bmatrix}0 & S\end{bmatrix}$ is generated by $\begin{bmatrix}0 & 1\end{bmatrix}$ and for all $s \in S$ define $\phi_s \in \mathrm{Hom}_S({}_SC^*_\Lambda, {}_SS)$ to be the element which sends $\begin{bmatrix}0 & 1\end{bmatrix}$ to s. We can now define the map $\Phi : \mathrm{Hom}_S({}_SC^*_\Lambda, {}_SS) \to {}_\Lambda C/_\Lambda \left[\begin{smallmatrix} M \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ given by $\Phi(\phi_s) = \overline{\begin{bmatrix}0 \\ s\end{bmatrix}}$, where $\overline{\begin{bmatrix}0 \\ s\end{bmatrix}}$ denotes the element $\begin{bmatrix}0 \\ s\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}M \\ 0\end{bmatrix}$ in ${}_\Lambda C/_\Lambda \left[\begin{smallmatrix} M \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$. This is obviously a bijection and it is easy to show that it is a homomorphism of DG-Λ-modules. □

4. Derived Equivalences of Upper Triangular DGA's

We are now almost in the position where we can make a start on what is the main aim of the paper, to obtain a generalised version of [5, Theorem 4.5] for upper triangular DGAs. In Theorem 4.2, which is the first major step towards our goal, we obtain a dervived equivalence between $D(\Lambda)$ and $D(\mathscr{E})$, where $\mathscr{E}$ is the endomorphism DGA of a K-projective resoultion of the DG-module $T = \Sigma i_* X \oplus j_* j^* \Lambda$. We then follow this up by constructing a K-projective resoultion for T in proposition 4.6 which in turn is followed by the structure of the endomorphism DGA $\mathscr{E}$ in Proposition 4.10. The remainder of this section is involved in the details of computing an quasi-isomorphisms between the DGA $\mathscr{E}$ and the upper triangular matrix DGA $\tilde{\Lambda} = \begin{bmatrix} S & \mathrm{Hom}_R(V, U) \\ 0 & \mathrm{Hom}_R(U, U)^{\mathrm{op}} \end{bmatrix}$ with the final result being Theorem 4.15, the main result of the paper which gives us a derived equivalnence between the upper triangular matrix DGAs Λ and $\tilde{\Lambda}$.

We start however with a statement of Keller's Theorem which we use in the proof of Theorem 4.2.

Theorem 4.1 (Keller's Theorem)**.** *Let A be a DGA and let N be a K-projective DG A-module which is compact in $D(A)$ such that $\langle N \rangle = D(A)$ and let $\mathscr{H} = \mathrm{End}_A(N, N)$. Then $D(A) \simeq D(\mathscr{H}^{\mathrm{op}})$.*

Proof. See [4]. □

We are now able to make our first attempt at generalising [5, Theorem 4.5] for DGAs. For this we follow a similar method by introducing a DG-Λ-module $T = \Sigma i_* X \oplus j_* j^* \Lambda$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let X be a DG R-module such that ${}_RX$ is compact and $\langle {}_RX\rangle = D(R)$. Let ${}_RM_S$ be compact as a DG-R-module. Let $\mathscr{E} = \operatorname{End}_\Lambda(P)$, where P is a K-projective resolution of $T = \Sigma i_*X \oplus j_*j^*\Lambda$. Then $\mathscr{E}$ is an DGA with $D(\Lambda) \simeq D(\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{op}})$.*

Proof. Our aim to to apply Kellers theorem. To do this we need to show that T is compact and that $\langle T\rangle \cong D(\Lambda)$. We begin with the compactness of T.

Since T is a direct sum it is sufficent to show that both its direct summands i_*X and $j_*j^*\Lambda$ are compact.

To show that i_*X is compact we first note that by adjointness

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{D(\Lambda)}(i_*X, \coprod A_k) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{D(R)}(X, i^!(\coprod A_k))$$

and that $i^!(\coprod A_k) = \operatorname{RHom}_\Lambda(B, \coprod A_k)$. Also, since B is compact it is not hard to show that $i^!(\coprod A_k) \cong \coprod i^!(A_k)$ in $D(\Lambda)$.

We therefore have that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{D(\Lambda)}(i_*X, \coprod A_k) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{D(R)}(X, i^!(\coprod A_k))$$
$$\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{D(R)}(X, \coprod i^!A_k) \cong \coprod \operatorname{Hom}_{D(R)}(X, i^!A_k)$$
$$\simeq \coprod \operatorname{Hom}_{D(\Lambda)}(i_*X, A_k).$$

So i_*X is compact as required.

To show that $j_*j^*\Lambda$ is compact we observe from Lemma 3.11 that $j_*j^*\Lambda \cong \frac{C}{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} M \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}$. We know that C is compact and since there is a distinguished triangle $\begin{bmatrix} M \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \to C \to \frac{C}{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} M \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}$ in $D(\Lambda)$ it is sufficent to show that $\begin{bmatrix} M \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is compact.

Since $\begin{bmatrix} M \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \cong i_*M = B \overset{L}{\otimes}_R M$ and both B and M are compact we have that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{D(\Lambda)}(B \overset{L}{\otimes}_R M, \coprod A_k) \cong H^0 \operatorname{RHom}_\Lambda(B \overset{L}{\otimes}_R M, \coprod A_k)$$
$$\cong H^0 \operatorname{RHom}_R(M, \operatorname{RHom}_\Lambda(B, \coprod A_k)) \cong H^0 \operatorname{RHom}_R(M, \coprod \operatorname{RHom}_\Lambda(B, A_k))$$
$$\cong \coprod H^0 \operatorname{RHom}_R(M, \operatorname{RHom}_\Lambda(B, A_k)) \cong \coprod H^0 \operatorname{RHom}_\Lambda(B \overset{L}{\otimes}_R M, A_k)$$

$$\cong \coprod \mathrm{Hom}_{D(\Lambda)}(i_*M, A_k).$$

So $\begin{bmatrix} M \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is compact and since C is also compact we have that $j_*j^*\Lambda \cong \frac{C}{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} M \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}$ is compact, and so $T = \Sigma i_*X \oplus j_*j^*\Lambda$ is compact.

It remains to show that $\langle T \rangle = D(\Lambda)$. For this it is sufficent to show that $\Lambda \in \langle T \rangle$.

Since $\Lambda \cong B \oplus C$ we only have to show that both B and C are in $\langle T \rangle$.

To show that B is contained in $\langle T \rangle$ we first observe that the functor $i_*(-)$ respects the operations of taking distinguished triangles, set indexed coproducts, quotients and suspensions. This gives us that $i_*(\langle X \rangle) \subseteq \langle i_*(X) \rangle$ for all $X \in D(R)$. Hence

$$B = i_*R \in \mathrm{Ess.Im}(i_*) = i_*(D(R)) = i_* \langle X \rangle \subseteq \langle i_*X \rangle \subseteq \langle T \rangle .$$

To show that $C \in \langle T \rangle$ we first observe that $\frac{C}{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} M \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]} \cong j_*j^*\Lambda \in \langle T \rangle$ so if we can show that $\begin{bmatrix} M \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \langle T \rangle$ then C is in $\langle T \rangle$. To show this we first observe that $\langle X \rangle = D(R)$ so ${}_RM$ can be built from X. Since i_* preserves the possible constructions, we can build $\begin{bmatrix} M \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = i_*M$ from $i_*X \in \langle T \rangle$.

Hence we have that both B and $C \in \langle T \rangle$ and therefore that $\Lambda \in \langle T \rangle$ so $\langle T \rangle = D(\Lambda)$.

We are now in a position to apply Keller's Therorem to get that $D(\Lambda) \simeq D(\mathscr{E}^{op})$. ☐

Our aim now is to find a K-projective resolution of T in the above theorem so that we can calculate $\mathscr{E}$. For this we first need the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.3. *Let U be a K-projective resolution of a DG-R-module X. Then $\begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is a K-projective resolution of $\begin{bmatrix} X \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ over Λ.*

Proof. Let J be an exact DG-Λ-module. Then

$$\mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda\left(\begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, J\right) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(B \otimes_R U, J) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(U, \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(B, J)).$$

Since both U and B are K-projective we have that this is exact and hence $\begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is K-projective. ☐

Lemma 4.4. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism of K-projective DG-modules over some DGA R and let Z be the mapping cone of f. Then Z is also K-projective.*

Remark 4.5. From definition of ${}_RM_S$ we have a quasi-isomorphism ${}_RV_S \xrightarrow{f} {}_RM_S$ where V is K-projective over R. Also for the DG-R-module ${}_RX$ we can choose a quasi-isomorphism ${}_RU \xrightarrow{g} {}_RX$ where U is a K-projective resolution.

We can now prove the following proposition about the structure of P, a K-projective resolution of T.

Proposition 4.6. *Let $T = \Sigma i_* X \oplus j_* j^* \Lambda$ as defined in Theorem 4.2. Then T has K-projective resolution $P = \Sigma \begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus W$ over Λ where W is the mapping cone of $\begin{bmatrix} V \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]} \begin{bmatrix} M \\ S \end{bmatrix}$.*

Proof. By lemma 4.3 we have that $\begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is a K-projective resolution of $i_* X = \begin{bmatrix} X \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and that $\begin{bmatrix} V \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is a K-projective resolution of $\begin{bmatrix} M \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ over Λ.

We now wish to find a K-projective resolution of $j_* j^* \Lambda$. To do this we first recall that $j_* j^* \Lambda = \dfrac{C}{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} M \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}$.

We now consider the map

$$\begin{bmatrix} V \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]} \begin{bmatrix} M \\ S \end{bmatrix}$$

of DG-Λ-modules. This embeds into the distinguished triangle

$$\begin{bmatrix} V \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]} \begin{bmatrix} M \\ S \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow W.$$

We can now use this to obtain the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\begin{bmatrix} M \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \arrow[r] & C \arrow[r] & C/\left[\begin{smallmatrix} M \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \arrow[r] & {} \\
\begin{bmatrix} V \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\simeq"] & C \arrow[r] \arrow[u, equal] & W \arrow[r] \arrow[u, dotted, "\exists"] & {}
\end{tikzcd}$$

of distinguished triangles in $D(\Lambda)$ so there exists a quasi-isomorphism $W \to C/\left[\begin{smallmatrix} M \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$.

By Lemma 4.4 we also have that W is K-projective and hence a K-projective resolution of $C/\left[\begin{smallmatrix} M \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \cong j_*j^*\Lambda$.

We now have K-projective resolutions for both direct summands of T and hence T has the K-projective resolution, $P = \Sigma \begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus W$.

□

Now that we have a K-projective resolution for T in Theorem 4.2 we can try to calculate the endomorphism DGA $\mathscr{E} = \mathrm{End}_\Lambda(T)$, but before we do so we need a few facts about W as defined in the above propostion.

Remark 4.7. W is the mapping cone of $\begin{bmatrix} V \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]} \begin{bmatrix} M \\ S \end{bmatrix}$ so

$$W^\natural = \begin{bmatrix} M \\ S \end{bmatrix}^\natural \oplus \Sigma \begin{bmatrix} V \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}^\natural$$

i.e any element $w \in W^\natural$ is of the form $w = \begin{bmatrix} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} m \\ s \end{smallmatrix}\right] \\ \left[\begin{smallmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \end{bmatrix}$. In addition W is equipped with the diffential

$$\partial^W = \begin{bmatrix} \partial^C & \left[\begin{smallmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \\ 0 & -\partial^{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]} \end{bmatrix}.$$

and the quasi-ismorphism $W \to C/[\begin{smallmatrix} M \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}]$ in the proof of proposition 4.6 is give by

$$\begin{bmatrix} [\begin{smallmatrix} m \\ s \end{smallmatrix}] \\ [\begin{smallmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}] \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \overline{\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ s \end{bmatrix}}.$$

Lemma 4.8. *W is isomorphic in $K(\Lambda)$ to $\begin{bmatrix} Z \\ S \end{bmatrix}$ where Z is the mapping cone of f. Furthermore Z is exact.*

Proof. Since Z is the mapping cone of f we have a distinguished triangle of the form:

$$\begin{tikzcd} V \arrow[r, "f"] & M \arrow[r, "h"] & Z \arrow[r, "k"] & \Sigma V \arrow[r] & {}. \end{tikzcd}$$

We can use this to construct a distinguished triangle

$$\begin{tikzcd} \begin{bmatrix} V \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \arrow[r, "{[\begin{smallmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}]}"] & \begin{bmatrix} M \\ S \end{bmatrix} \arrow[r, "{[\begin{smallmatrix} h \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}]}"] & \begin{bmatrix} Z \\ S \end{bmatrix} \arrow[r, "{[\begin{smallmatrix} k \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}]}"] & \Sigma \begin{bmatrix} V \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \arrow[r] & {}. \end{tikzcd}$$

Which in turn we can use to obtain the diagram of distinguished triangles:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \begin{bmatrix} V \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \arrow[r, "{[\begin{smallmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}]}"] \arrow[d, equal] & \begin{bmatrix} M \\ S \end{bmatrix} \arrow[r, "{[\begin{smallmatrix} h \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}]}"] \arrow[d, equal] & \begin{bmatrix} Z \\ S \end{bmatrix} \arrow[r, "{[\begin{smallmatrix} k \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}]}"] \arrow[d, dashed, "\exists"] & \Sigma \begin{bmatrix} V \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & {} \\ \begin{bmatrix} V \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \arrow[r, "{[\begin{smallmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}]}"] & \begin{bmatrix} M \\ S \end{bmatrix} \arrow[r] & W \arrow[r] & \Sigma \begin{bmatrix} V \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \arrow[r] & {}. \end{tikzcd}$$

Hence we have that there exists an isomorphism $\begin{bmatrix} Z \\ S \end{bmatrix} \to W$.

Finally since Z is the mapping cone of a quasi-isomorphism it is exact. □

Lemma 4.9. *Let A and B be DG-R-modules. Then*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(A, B) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda\left(\begin{bmatrix} A \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} B \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

as complexes of abelian groups.

Furthermore

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(A,A) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda\left(\begin{bmatrix} A \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} A \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

as DGA's.

Proof. Define $\Theta : \mathrm{Hom}_R(A,B) \to \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda\left(\begin{bmatrix} A \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} B \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ by $\Theta(\phi) = \begin{bmatrix} \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. It is easy to see that Θ is an isomorphism of complexes of abelian groups. In addition in the case $B = A$, Θ becomes an isomorpism of DGA's. □

The following proposition give the structure of $\mathscr{E}$ which by Theorem 4.2 is dervived equivalent to the upper triangular matix DGA Λ.

Proposition 4.10. *In the setup of Theorem 4.2,*

$$\mathscr{E} \cong \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{Hom}_R(U,U) & \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(W, \Sigma \left[\begin{smallmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]) \\ \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(\Sigma \left[\begin{smallmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right], W) & \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(W,W) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. Since $P = \Sigma U \oplus W$ consists of a direct sum we have that

$$\mathscr{E} = \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(P,P) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(\Sigma \left[\begin{smallmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right], \Sigma \left[\begin{smallmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]) & \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(W, \Sigma \left[\begin{smallmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]) \\ \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(\Sigma \left[\begin{smallmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right], W) & \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(W,W) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Furthermore from Lemma 4.9 above we have that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda\left(\Sigma \begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \Sigma \begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda\left(\begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(U,U).$$

□

Our attention now is with obtaining a quasi-isomorphism between the entries of $\mathscr{E}$ and the corresponding entries $\tilde{\Lambda} = \begin{bmatrix} S & \mathrm{Hom}_R(V,U) \\ 0 & \mathrm{Hom}_R(U,U)^{\mathrm{op}} \end{bmatrix}$ which will allow us to construct a isomorpism between the two DGAs.

Lemma 4.11. *The complex of abelian groups* $\mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda\left(\Sigma \begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, W\right)$ *is exact.*

Proof. For all i we have that

$$\mathrm{H}^i \operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda\left(\Sigma \begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, W\right) \cong \mathrm{H}^0 \operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda\left(\begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \Sigma^{i-1} W\right)$$

$$\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{K(\Lambda)}\left(\begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \Sigma^{i-1} W\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{K(\Lambda)}\left(\begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \Sigma^{i-1} \begin{bmatrix} Z \\ S \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

However for $\theta \in \operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda\left(\begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \Sigma^{i-1} \begin{bmatrix} Z \\ S \end{bmatrix}\right)$ such that $\theta\left(\begin{bmatrix} u \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} z \\ s \end{bmatrix}$ for some $u \in U, z \in Z$ and $s \in S$, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} z \\ s \end{bmatrix} = \theta\left(\begin{bmatrix} u \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \theta\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} u \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \theta\left(\begin{bmatrix} u \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} z \\ s \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} z \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So $s = 0$ and so $\theta\left(\begin{bmatrix} u \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} z \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

Hence $\operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda\left(\Sigma \begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \Sigma^{i-1} \begin{bmatrix} Z \\ S \end{bmatrix}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda\left(\Sigma \begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \Sigma^{i-1} \begin{bmatrix} Z \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ and by Lemma 4.9 this is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Hom}_R(\Sigma U, \Sigma^{i-1} Z)$.

Taking this together with U being K-projective and the exactness of Z gives us that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{K(\Lambda)}\left(\begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \Sigma^{i-1} \begin{bmatrix} Z \\ S \end{bmatrix}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{K(\Lambda)}\left(U, \Sigma^{i-1} Z\right)$$

$$\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{D(\Lambda)}\left(U, \Sigma^{i-1} Z\right) \cong 0,$$

Hence $\mathrm{H}^i \operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda\left(\Sigma \begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, W\right) \cong 0$ for all i and so $\operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda\left(\Sigma \begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, W\right)$ is exact. □

Proposition 4.12. *There is a quasi-isomorphism of DGAs*

$$\alpha : S^{\mathrm{op}} \to \operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda(W, W).$$

Proof. Define $\alpha : S^{\mathrm{op}} \to \operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda(W, W)$ by $\alpha(\tilde{s}) = \begin{bmatrix} g_{\tilde{s}} & 0 \\ 0 & l_{\tilde{s}} \end{bmatrix}$ where $g_{\tilde{s}}\left(\begin{bmatrix} m \\ s \end{bmatrix}\right) = (-1)^{|\tilde{s}||s|}\begin{bmatrix} m\tilde{s} \\ s\tilde{s} \end{bmatrix}$ and $l_{\tilde{s}}\left(\begin{bmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = (-1)^{|\tilde{s}|(|v|+1)}\begin{bmatrix} v\tilde{s} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

We first want to show that α is a homomorphism of Differential Graded Algebras.

It is straightforward to check that α respects the operations of addition and multiplication. So it remains to check that α is compatible with the differential.

So

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial^{\mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(W,W)}(\alpha(\tilde{s})) &= \partial^{\mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(W,W)}\left(\begin{bmatrix} g_{\tilde{s}} & 0 \\ 0 & l_{\tilde{s}} \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
&= \partial^W \begin{bmatrix} g_{\tilde{s}} & 0 \\ 0 & l_{\tilde{s}} \end{bmatrix} - (-1)^{|\tilde{s}|} \begin{bmatrix} g_{\tilde{s}} & 0 \\ 0 & l_{\tilde{s}} \end{bmatrix} \partial^W \\
= \begin{bmatrix} \partial^C & \left[\begin{smallmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \\ 0 & -\partial^{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} g_{\tilde{s}} & 0 \\ 0 & l_{\tilde{s}} \end{bmatrix} &- (-1)^{|\tilde{s}|} \begin{bmatrix} g_{\tilde{s}} & 0 \\ 0 & l_{\tilde{s}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \partial^C & \left[\begin{smallmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \\ 0 & -\partial^{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]} \end{bmatrix} \\
= \begin{bmatrix} \partial^C g_{\tilde{s}} & \left[\begin{smallmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right] l_{\tilde{s}} \\ 0 & -\partial^{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]} l_{\tilde{s}} \end{bmatrix} &- (-1)^{|\tilde{s}|} \begin{bmatrix} g_{\tilde{s}}\partial^C & g_{\tilde{s}} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \\ 0 & -l_{\tilde{s}}\partial^{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]} \end{bmatrix} \\
= \begin{bmatrix} \partial^C g_{\tilde{s}} - (-1)^{|\tilde{s}|} g_{\tilde{s}}\partial^C & \left[\begin{smallmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right] l_{\tilde{s}} - (-1)^{|\tilde{s}|} g_{\tilde{s}} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \\ 0 & -\partial^{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]} l_{\tilde{s}} + (-1)^{|\tilde{s}|} l_{\tilde{s}} \partial^{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]} \end{bmatrix}&.
\end{aligned}$$

Considering each of the terms in this matrix seperately, starting with the upper left term, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\partial^C g_{\tilde{s}} - (-1)^{|\tilde{s}|} g_{\tilde{s}} \partial^C\right)\left(\begin{bmatrix} m \\ s \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
&= \partial^C\left((-1)^{|\tilde{s}||s|}\begin{bmatrix} m\tilde{s} \\ s\tilde{s} \end{bmatrix}\right) - (-1)^{|\tilde{s}|} g_{\tilde{s}}\left(\begin{bmatrix} \partial^M(m) \\ \partial^S(s) \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
&= (-1)^{|\tilde{s}||s|}\begin{bmatrix} \partial^M(m\tilde{s}) \\ \partial^S(s\tilde{s}) \end{bmatrix} - (-1)^{|\tilde{s}|}(-1)^{|\tilde{s}|(|s|-1)}\begin{bmatrix} \partial^M(m)\tilde{s} \\ \partial^S(s)\tilde{s} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= (-1)^{|\tilde{s}||s|}\begin{bmatrix} \partial^M(m)\tilde{s} + (-1)^{|s|} m\partial^S(\tilde{s}) \\ \partial^S(s)\tilde{s} + (-1)^{|s|} s\partial^S(\tilde{s}) \end{bmatrix} - (-1)^{|\tilde{s}||s|}\begin{bmatrix} \partial^M(m)\tilde{s} \\ \partial^S(s)\tilde{s} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= (-1)^{|\tilde{s}||s|}\begin{bmatrix} (-1)^{|s|} m\partial^S(\tilde{s}) \\ (-1)^{|s|} s\partial^S(\tilde{s}) \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^{|\partial^S(\tilde{s})||s|}\begin{bmatrix} m\partial^S(\tilde{s}) \\ s\partial^S(\tilde{s}) \end{bmatrix} \\
&= g_{\partial^S(\tilde{s})}\left(\begin{bmatrix} m \\ s \end{bmatrix}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

So $\partial^C g_{\tilde{s}} - (-1)^{|\tilde{s}|} g_{\tilde{s}} \partial^C = g_{\partial^S(\tilde{s})}$.

By a similar arguement we also have for the lower right entry that $-\partial^{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]} l_{\tilde{s}} + (-1)^{|\tilde{s}|} l_{\tilde{s}} \partial^{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]} = l_{\partial^S(\tilde{s})}$.

Finally for the upper right entry we have:

$$\left(\begin{bmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} l_{\tilde{s}} - (-1)^{|\tilde{s}|} g_{\tilde{s}} \begin{bmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \left(\begin{bmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \left((-1)^{|\tilde{s}|(|v|+1)} \begin{bmatrix} v\tilde{s} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) - (-1)^{|\tilde{s}|} g_{\tilde{s}} \left(\begin{bmatrix} f(v) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

$$(-1)^{|\tilde{s}|(|v|+1)} \begin{bmatrix} f(v\tilde{s}) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - (-1)^{|\tilde{s}|} (-1)^{|\tilde{s}||v|} \begin{bmatrix} f(v)\tilde{s} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(-1)^{|\tilde{s}|(|v|+1)} \begin{bmatrix} f(v)\tilde{s} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - (-1)^{|\tilde{s}|(|v|+1)} \begin{bmatrix} f(v)\tilde{s} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

Substituting these values back into the matrix gives us that:

$$\partial^{\mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(W,W)}(\alpha(\tilde{s})) = \begin{bmatrix} g_{\partial^S(\tilde{s})} & 0 \\ 0 & l_{\partial^S(\tilde{s})} \end{bmatrix} = \alpha(\partial^S(\tilde{s})).$$

Hence we have that α is a homomorphism of Differential Graded Algebras. It remains to show that it is also a quasi-isomorphism.

Now let $\theta \in \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(W, C/\left[\begin{smallmatrix} M \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right])$ such that $\theta\left(\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} m \\ s \end{smallmatrix}\right] \\ \left[\begin{smallmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \end{smallmatrix}\right] \right) = \overline{\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ s \end{bmatrix}}$. This quasi-isomorphism gives us the homomorphism of complexes of abelian groups

$$\mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(W,\theta) : \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(W,W) \to \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda\left(W, C/\left[\begin{smallmatrix} M \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]\right).$$

Define $\beta = \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(W,\theta) \circ \alpha : S^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda\left(W, C/\left[\begin{smallmatrix} M \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]\right)$. Then β is a homomorphism of complexes of abelian groups with

$$\beta(\tilde{s}) \left(\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} m \\ s \end{smallmatrix}\right] \\ \left[\begin{smallmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \end{smallmatrix}\right] \right) = \theta\left((-1)^{|s||\tilde{s}|} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} m\tilde{s} \\ s\tilde{s} \end{smallmatrix}\right] \\ \left[\begin{smallmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \end{smallmatrix}\right] \right) = (-1)^{|s||\tilde{s}|} \overline{\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ s\tilde{s} \end{bmatrix}}.$$

Now let $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda\left(W, C/\left[\begin{smallmatrix} M \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]\right)$. Then for any $m \in M, s \in S$ and $v \in V$ we have that $\psi\left(\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} m \\ s \end{smallmatrix}\right] \\ \left[\begin{smallmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \end{smallmatrix}\right] \right) = \overline{\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{s} \end{bmatrix}}$ for some $\tilde{s} \in S$. Hence we have

$$\psi\left(\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} m \\ s \end{smallmatrix}\right] \\ \left[\begin{smallmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \end{smallmatrix}\right] \right) = \overline{\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{s} \end{bmatrix}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \overline{\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{s} \end{bmatrix}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \psi\left(\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} m \\ s \end{smallmatrix}\right] \\ \left[\begin{smallmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \end{smallmatrix}\right] \right) = \psi\left(\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ s \end{smallmatrix}\right] \\ \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \end{smallmatrix}\right] \right).$$

Furthermore

$$\psi\left(\begin{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} m \\ s\end{bmatrix}\\ \begin{bmatrix} v \\ 0\end{bmatrix}\end{bmatrix}\right)=\psi\left(\begin{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ s\end{bmatrix}\\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0\end{bmatrix}\end{bmatrix}\right)=\begin{bmatrix}0 & 0\\ 0 & s\end{bmatrix}\psi\left(\begin{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1\end{bmatrix}\\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0\end{bmatrix}\end{bmatrix}\right).$$

So each element of $\operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda\left(W, C/\begin{bmatrix} M \\ 0\end{bmatrix}\right)$ depends entirely on where it sends $\begin{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1\end{bmatrix}\\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0\end{bmatrix}\end{bmatrix}$.

We therefore have that for every $s \in S$ we have that $\beta(s)$ is the element of $\operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda\left(W, C/\begin{bmatrix} M \\ 0\end{bmatrix}\right)$ which sends $\begin{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1\end{bmatrix}\\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0\end{bmatrix}\end{bmatrix}$ to $\overline{\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ s\end{bmatrix}}$.

Since elements of $\operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda\left(W, C/\begin{bmatrix} M \\ 0\end{bmatrix}\right)$ depend entirely on where they send $\begin{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1\end{bmatrix}\\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0\end{bmatrix}\end{bmatrix}$ and since $\overline{\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ s\end{bmatrix}} \neq \overline{\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ s'\end{bmatrix}}$ for all $s, s' \in S$ with $s \neq s'$ we have that β is a bijection and so a isomorphism of complexes of abelian groups.

Furthermore since W is K-projective and θ is a quasi-isomorphism we have that $\operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda(W,\theta)$ is a quasi-isomorphism and therefore since β is an isomorphism we have that α must also be a quasi-isomorphism. ☐

Lemma 4.13. *There exists a quasi-isomorphism* $\Psi : \operatorname{Hom}_R(V,U) \to \operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda\left(W, \Sigma\begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0\end{bmatrix}\right)$ *of complexes of abelian groups, such that*

$$\Psi(\theta)\left(\begin{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} m \\ s\end{bmatrix}\\ \begin{bmatrix} v \\ 0\end{bmatrix}\end{bmatrix}\right)=(-1)^{|\theta|}\begin{bmatrix}\theta(v) \\ 0\end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. Consider the distinguished triangle

$$\begin{bmatrix} V \\ 0\end{bmatrix}\xrightarrow{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} f \\ 0\end{smallmatrix}\right]}\begin{bmatrix} M \\ S\end{bmatrix}\xrightarrow{\iota} W\xrightarrow{\pi}\Sigma\begin{bmatrix} V \\ 0\end{bmatrix}\to$$

in $K(\Lambda)$.

Since W is the mapping cone of $\begin{bmatrix} f \\ 0\end{bmatrix}$ we have that π is given by $\pi\left(\begin{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} m \\ s\end{bmatrix}\\ \begin{bmatrix} v \\ 0\end{bmatrix}\end{bmatrix}\right)=\begin{bmatrix} v \\ 0\end{bmatrix}$.

By applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda\left(-, \Sigma\begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0\end{bmatrix}\right)$ we get a distinguished triangle

$$\leftarrow \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda\left(\begin{bmatrix} M \\ S \end{bmatrix}, \Sigma \begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \leftarrow \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda\left(W, \Sigma \begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

$$\overset{\pi^*}{\leftarrow} \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda\left(\Sigma \begin{bmatrix} V \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \Sigma \begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \leftarrow \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda\left(\Sigma \begin{bmatrix} M \\ S \end{bmatrix}, \Sigma \begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

in $K(\mathrm{Ab})$.

Now let $\theta \in \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda\left(\begin{bmatrix} M \\ S \end{bmatrix}, \Sigma^i \begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$. Then, since $\begin{bmatrix} M \\ S \end{bmatrix}$ is generated by $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ as a DG-Λ-module, we have that θ depends entirely upon where it sends $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

Let $\theta\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} u \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} u \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \theta\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \theta\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\theta\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} u \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0,$$

so $\theta = 0$ and hence $\mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda\left(\begin{bmatrix} M \\ S \end{bmatrix}, \Sigma^i \begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = 0$ for all i.

Hence the distinguished triangle above shows that

$$\pi^* : \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda\left(\Sigma \begin{bmatrix} V \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \Sigma \begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \to \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda\left(W, \Sigma \begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

We can now use this along with the suspension Σ and the isomorphism Θ defined in the proof of Lemma 4.9 to obtain the diagram

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(V, U) \xrightarrow{\Theta} \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda\left(\begin{bmatrix} V \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \xrightarrow{\Sigma(-)}$$

$$\mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda\left(\Sigma \begin{bmatrix} V \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \Sigma \begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda\left(W, \Sigma \begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

Since each of the maps in the diagram is a quasi-isomorphism we can use them to define the quasi-isomorphism $\Psi : \mathrm{Hom}_R(V, U) \to \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda\left(W, \Sigma \begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ by the composition

$$\Psi = \pi^* \circ \Sigma(-) \circ \Theta.$$

Finally for $\theta \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(V,U)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(\theta) &= \pi^* \circ \Sigma \circ \Theta(\theta)\\ &= \pi^*\Sigma\left(\begin{bmatrix}\theta & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}\right)\\ &= \pi^*\left((-1)^{|\theta|}\begin{bmatrix}\theta & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}\right)\\ &= (-1)^{|\theta|}\begin{bmatrix}\theta & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}\circ \pi.\end{aligned}$$

So for $\begin{bmatrix}\left[\begin{smallmatrix}m\\ s\end{smallmatrix}\right]\\ \left[\begin{smallmatrix}v\\ 0\end{smallmatrix}\right]\end{bmatrix} \in W$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(\theta)\left(\begin{bmatrix}\left[\begin{smallmatrix}m\\ s\end{smallmatrix}\right]\\ \left[\begin{smallmatrix}v\\ 0\end{smallmatrix}\right]\end{bmatrix}\right) &= (-1)^{|\theta|}\begin{bmatrix}\theta & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}\circ \pi\left(\begin{bmatrix}\left[\begin{smallmatrix}m\\ s\end{smallmatrix}\right]\\ \left[\begin{smallmatrix}v\\ 0\end{smallmatrix}\right]\end{bmatrix}\right)\\ &= (-1)^{|\theta|}\begin{bmatrix}\theta & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}\left(\begin{bmatrix}v\\ 0\end{bmatrix}\right) = (-1)^{|\theta|}\begin{bmatrix}\theta(v)\\ 0\end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 4.14. From the right DG-S-module structure on V we have that $\operatorname{Hom}_R(V,U)$ is a left DG-S-module. In addition $\operatorname{Hom}_R(V,U)$ is a left DG-$\operatorname{Hom}_R(U,U)$-module. Hence we have that $\begin{bmatrix}S & \operatorname{Hom}_R(V,U)\\ 0 & \operatorname{Hom}_R(U,U)^{\mathrm{op}}\end{bmatrix}$ is a DGA.

We are now in a position to produce our main Theorem, a version of [5, Theorem 4.5] for DGAs.

Theorem 4.15. *Let X be a DG R-module such that ${}_RX$ is compact with $\langle {}_RX\rangle = D(R)$ and let ${}_RM_S$ be compact as a DG-R-module. Then for the upper triangular differential graded algebras*

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix}R & M\\ 0 & S\end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \tilde{\Lambda} = \begin{bmatrix}S & \operatorname{Hom}_R(V,U)\\ 0 & \operatorname{Hom}_R(U,U)^{\mathrm{op}}\end{bmatrix}$$

we have that $D(\Lambda) \simeq D(\tilde{\Lambda})$.

Proof. From Theorem 4.2 and lemma 4.10 we have that $D(\Lambda) \simeq D(\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{op}})$ where

$$\mathscr{E} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{Hom}_R(U,U) & \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(W, \Sigma \left[\begin{smallmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]) \\ \mathrm{Hom}_R(\Sigma \left[\begin{smallmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right], W) & \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(W,W) \end{bmatrix}.$$

We therefore only need to show that there is a quasi-isomorphism of DGA's from $\tilde{\Lambda}^{\mathrm{op}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{Hom}_R(U,U) & \mathrm{Hom}_R(V,U) \\ 0 & S^{\mathrm{op}} \end{bmatrix}$ to $\mathscr{E}$.

From proposition 4.12 we have that there exists a quasi-isomorphism $\alpha : S^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(W,W)$. Hence we can define the map

$$\Phi : \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{Hom}_R(U,U) & \mathrm{Hom}_R(V,U) \\ 0 & S^{\mathrm{op}} \end{bmatrix} \to \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{Hom}_R(U,U) & \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(W, \Sigma \left[\begin{smallmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]) \\ \mathrm{Hom}_R(\Sigma \left[\begin{smallmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right], W) & \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(W,W) \end{bmatrix}$$

by $\Phi\left(\begin{bmatrix} \phi & \theta \\ 0 & s \end{bmatrix}\right) = \left(\begin{bmatrix} \phi & (-1)^{|\theta|}\Psi(\theta) \\ 0 & \alpha(s) \end{bmatrix}\right)$.

Here $\Psi : \mathrm{Hom}_R(V,U) \to \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda\left(W, \Sigma \begin{bmatrix} U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ is the quasi-isomorphism from Lemma 4.13.

We now need to show that Φ is a morphism of DGA's.

Both addition and compatibility with the differential follow from the fact that α is a morphism of diffential graded algebras. So we only need to check multiplication:

Let . denote multiplication in S^{op}. Let $\begin{bmatrix} \phi & \theta \\ 0 & s \end{bmatrix} \in \tilde{\Lambda}_i$ and $\begin{bmatrix} \phi' & \theta' \\ 0 & s' \end{bmatrix} \in \tilde{\Lambda}_j$; then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi\left(\begin{bmatrix} \phi & \theta \\ 0 & s \end{bmatrix}\right)\Phi\left(\begin{bmatrix} \phi' & \theta' \\ 0 & s' \end{bmatrix}\right) &= \begin{bmatrix} \phi & (-1)^i\Psi(\theta) \\ 0 & \alpha(s) \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} \phi' & (-1)^j\Psi(\theta') \\ 0 & \alpha(s') \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \phi\phi' & (-1)^j\phi\Psi(\theta') + (-1)^i\Psi(\theta)\alpha(s') \\ 0 & \alpha(s)\alpha(s') \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \phi\phi' & (-1)^j\phi\Psi(\theta') + (-1)^i\Psi(\theta)\alpha(s') \\ 0 & \alpha(s.s') \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi\left(\begin{bmatrix} \phi & \theta \\ 0 & s \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} \phi' & \theta' \\ 0 & s' \end{bmatrix}\right) &= \Phi\left(\begin{bmatrix} \phi\phi' & \phi\theta + \theta.s' \\ 0 & s.s' \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \phi\phi' & (-1)^{(i+j)}\Psi(\phi\theta' + (-1)^{ij}s'\theta) \\ 0 & \alpha(s.s') \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

However

$$(-1)^{(i+j)}\Psi((\phi\theta' + (-1)^{ij}s'\theta))\left(\begin{bmatrix}\left[\begin{smallmatrix}m\\s\end{smallmatrix}\right]\\\left[\begin{smallmatrix}v\\0\end{smallmatrix}\right]\end{bmatrix}\right)$$

$$= (-1)^{(i+j)}\Psi(\phi\theta')\left(\begin{bmatrix}\left[\begin{smallmatrix}m\\s\end{smallmatrix}\right]\\\left[\begin{smallmatrix}v\\0\end{smallmatrix}\right]\end{bmatrix}\right) + (-1)^{(i+j)}(-1)^{ij}\Psi(s'\theta)\left(\begin{bmatrix}\left[\begin{smallmatrix}m\\s\end{smallmatrix}\right]\\\left[\begin{smallmatrix}v\\0\end{smallmatrix}\right]\end{bmatrix}\right)$$

$$= (-1)^{(i+j)}(-1)^{(i+j)}\begin{bmatrix}\phi\theta'(v)\\0\end{bmatrix} + (-1)^{(i+j)}(-1)^{ij}(-1)^{(i+j)}\left(\begin{bmatrix}(s'\theta)(v)\\0\end{bmatrix}\right)$$

$$= \phi\begin{bmatrix}\theta'(v)\\0\end{bmatrix} + (-1)^{ij}(-1)^{j(i+(i+1))}\begin{bmatrix}\theta(vs')\\0\end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \phi\begin{bmatrix}\theta'(v)\\0\end{bmatrix} + (-1)^{j(i+1)}\begin{bmatrix}\theta(vs')\\0\end{bmatrix}$$

$$= (-1)^{j}\phi\Psi(\theta')\left(\begin{bmatrix}\left[\begin{smallmatrix}m\\s\end{smallmatrix}\right]\\\left[\begin{smallmatrix}v\\0\end{smallmatrix}\right]\end{bmatrix}\right) + (-1)^{j(i+1)}(-1)^{i}\Psi(\theta)\left(\begin{bmatrix}\left[\begin{smallmatrix}ms'\\ss'\end{smallmatrix}\right]\\\left[\begin{smallmatrix}vs'\\0\end{smallmatrix}\right]\end{bmatrix}\right)$$

$$= ((-1)^{j}\phi\Psi(\theta') + (-1)^{i}\Psi(\theta)\alpha(s'))\left(\begin{bmatrix}\left[\begin{smallmatrix}m\\s\end{smallmatrix}\right]\\\left[\begin{smallmatrix}v\\0\end{smallmatrix}\right]\end{bmatrix}\right),$$

so $(-1)^{j}\phi\Psi(\theta') + (-1)^{i}\Psi(\theta)\alpha(s') = (-1)^{(i+j)}\Psi((\phi\theta' + (-1)^{ij}s'\theta))$ and therefore

$$\Phi\left(\begin{bmatrix}\phi & \theta\\0 & s\end{bmatrix}\right)\Phi\left(\begin{bmatrix}\phi' & \theta'\\0 & s'\end{bmatrix}\right) = \Phi\left(\begin{bmatrix}\phi & \theta\\0 & s\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}\phi' & \theta'\\0 & s'\end{bmatrix}\right).$$

We therefore have that Φ is a morphism of Diffential Graded Algebras. Furthermore since from lemma 4.11 we have that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(\Sigma\begin{bmatrix}U\\0\end{bmatrix}, W\right)$ is exact and so the map $0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(\Sigma\begin{bmatrix}U\\0\end{bmatrix}, W\right)$ is a quasi isomorphism.

Taking these together with the fact that $\alpha : S^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda}(W, W)$ is a quasi-isomorphism we have that Φ is a quasi-isomorphism.

Hence $\mathscr{E} \simeq \tilde{\Lambda}^{\mathrm{op}}$ and so

$$D(\Lambda) \simeq D(\mathscr{E}^{\mathrm{op}}) \simeq D(\tilde{\Lambda}).$$

$\square$

5. Examples

We shall now conclude with some examples. In the first example we will show that by taking R and S to be k-algebras and making the same assumptions as in [5], we obtain what is in essence the same result.

Definition 5.1. An R-module X is called rigid if $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(X,X)=0$ for all $i\neq 0$.

Theorem 5.2. *Let R and S be rings and ${}_RM_S$ a R-S-bimodule such that ${}_RM$ is compact in $D(R)$ and when R and S are considered as DGAs then ${}_RM_S$ as a DG-bimodule is quasi-isomorphic to ${}_RV_S$ which is a K-projective DG-R-module. Let ${}_RX$ be a compact and rigid R-module with $\langle X\rangle = D(R)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^n_R({}_RM,{}_RX)=0$ for all $n\neq 0$. Then the triangular matrix rings*

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \tilde{\Lambda} = \begin{bmatrix} S & \operatorname{Hom}_R(M,X) \\ 0 & \operatorname{End}_R(X)^{\mathrm{op}} \end{bmatrix}$$

are derived equivalent.

Proof. By considering the rings R and S and modules M and X to be DGA's and DG-modules respectively we can apply Theorem 4.15 to get that the DGA's

$$\begin{bmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} S & \operatorname{Hom}_R(V,U) \\ 0 & \operatorname{Hom}_R(U,U)^{\mathrm{op}} \end{bmatrix}$$

are derived equivalent, where U is a K-projective resolution of X.

Since $\operatorname{Hom}_R(U,U)=\operatorname{RHom}_R(X,X)$ we have that

$$H^i\operatorname{Hom}_R(U,U)=H^i\operatorname{RHom}_R(X,X)=\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(X,X)=0$$

for all $i\neq 0$ since X is rigid and

$$H^0\operatorname{Hom}_R(U,U)=H^0\operatorname{RHom}_R(X,X)=\operatorname{End}_R(X).$$

Similarly since $\operatorname{Hom}_R(V,U)=\operatorname{RHom}_R(M,X)$ we have that

$$H^i\operatorname{Hom}_R(V,U)=H^i\operatorname{RHom}_R(M,X)=\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M,X)=0$$

for all $i\neq 0$ and

$$H^0\operatorname{Hom}_R(V,U)=H^0\operatorname{RHom}_R(M,X)=\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,X).$$

Hence we have that $H^i \begin{bmatrix} S & \mathrm{Hom}_R(V,U) \\ 0 & \mathrm{Hom}_R(U,U)^{\mathrm{op}} \end{bmatrix} = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$ and

$$H^0 \begin{bmatrix} S & \mathrm{Hom}_R(V,U) \\ 0 & \mathrm{Hom}_R(U,U)^{\mathrm{op}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S & \mathrm{Hom}_R(M,X) \\ 0 & \mathrm{End}_R(X)^{\mathrm{op}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We therefore have that the matrix ring $\begin{bmatrix} S & \mathrm{Hom}_R(M,X) \\ 0 & \mathrm{End}_R(X)^{\mathrm{op}} \end{bmatrix}$ is derived equivalent to the DGA $\begin{bmatrix} S & \mathrm{Hom}_R(V,U) \\ 0 & \mathrm{Hom}_R(U,U)^{\mathrm{op}} \end{bmatrix}$ and so derived equivalent to the matrix ring $\begin{bmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix}$.

□

Our next example considers the special case obtained when we take ${}_RX = {}_RR$.

Corollary 5.3. *Let ${}_RM_S$ be compact as a DG-R-module. Then the triangular matrix DGAs*

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \tilde{\Lambda} = \begin{bmatrix} S & \mathrm{Hom}_R(V,R) \\ 0 & R \end{bmatrix}$$

where V is K-projective over R and is quasi-isomorphic to ${}_RM_S$, are derived equivalent.

For the next example we require the idea of the duality on $\mathrm{Mod}\, R$ which we define next.

Definition 5.4. Let R be a finite dimensional DGA over a field k. Then we can define the duality on $\mathrm{Mod}\, R$ by $D : \mathrm{Mod}\, R \to \mathrm{Mod}\, R^{\mathrm{op}}$ where $D(-) = \mathrm{Hom}_k(-, k)$.

The final example below considers the case where the DGA's R and S are over some field k and R is self dual in the sense of the above definition.

Theorem 5.5. *Let R be a finite dimensional and self dual in the sense that $DR \cong R$ in the derived category of DG-bi-R-modules and let ${}_RM_S$ be compact as a DG-R-module. Then*

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \tilde{\Lambda} = \begin{bmatrix} S & DM \\ 0 & R \end{bmatrix}$$

are derived equivalent.

Proof. From corollary 5.3 we have that

$$\begin{bmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} S & \operatorname{Hom}_R(V,R) \\ 0 & R \end{bmatrix}$$

are derived equivalent, where ${}_RV_S$ is quasi-isomorphic to ${}_RM_S$ and ${}_RV$ is K-projective.

Since R is self dual we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Hom}_R(V,R) &\cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(V,DR) = \operatorname{Hom}_R(V,\operatorname{Hom}_k(R,k)) \\ &\cong \operatorname{Hom}_k(R\otimes_R V,k) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_k(V,k) = DV. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, applying the functor $D(-)$ to the quasi-isomorphism $V \to M$ gives us the quasi-isomorphim $DM \to DV$. This in turn allows us to define a quasi-isomorphism $\begin{bmatrix} S & DM \\ 0 & R \end{bmatrix} \to \begin{bmatrix} S & DV \\ 0 & R \end{bmatrix}$, so

$$\begin{bmatrix} S & DM \\ 0 & R \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} S & DV \\ 0 & R \end{bmatrix}$$

are derived equivalent and hence

$$\begin{bmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} S & DM \\ 0 & R \end{bmatrix}$$

are derived equivalent. □

References

[1] A. Frankild and P. Jørgensen, *Gorenstein Differential Graded Algebras*, Israel J. Math. **135** (2003), 327-353.

[2] R. Hartshorne, *Residues and Duality*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 20, Springer, Berlin, 1966, Lecture notes of a seminar on the work of A. Grothendieck, given at Harvard 1963/64. With an appendix by P. Deligne.

[3] P. Jørgensen, *Recollement for differential graded algebras*, J. Algebra **299** (2006), 589–601.

[4] B. Keller, *Deriving DG categories*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 27 (1994), 63–102.

[5] S. Ladkani, *Derived Equivalences of triangular matrix rings arising from extensions of tilting modules*, to appear in Algebr. Represent. Theory.

[6] J. Rickard, *Morita Theory for Derived Categories*, J. London Math. Soc. **39** (1989), 436-456.